

## **Guiding Principles for Constructed Treatment Wetlands: Providing Water Quality and Wildlife Habitat**

### *This User's Guide Provides:*

- *Guiding principles for siting, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and monitoring of constructed treatment wetlands*
- *Information on current Agency policies, permits, regulations, and resources*
- *Answers to common questions*

**Developed by the Interagency Workgroup on Constructed Wetlands**

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Army Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, Natural Resources Conservation Services, National Marine Fisheries Service, and Bureau of Reclamation**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This document is the result of the collective efforts of many individuals. All members of the Interagency Workgroup on Constructed Wetlands, listed in Appendix IV, worked extremely hard reviewing multiple drafts to make these Guiding Principles a reality. EPA's Wetlands Division extends its heartfelt gratitude to all Workgroup members for their contributions. The Wetlands Division would like to make special recognition of Bob Bastian and Fran Eargle, who led the efforts of the Workgroup from its inception, and of Matt Little, who worked tirelessly to develop the document and incorporate the comments of the members. It is the hope of the Workgroup that this guidance will help improve the siting, design, construction, operation/maintenance, and monitoring of constructed treatment wetlands which aim to provide water quality and wildlife habitat.

Considerable insight into the design, construction and operation issues facing treatment wetlands which support valuable wildlife habitat was gained by many members of the Workgroup during a Wetlands Roundtable meeting and field trip to Phoenix and ShowLow, AZ, in November 1997. The Workgroup greatly appreciated the input and assistance provided by Paul Kinshella and Roland Wass from the City of Phoenix and others associated with the Tres Rios Project, as well as the insights provided by many others, especially Bob Knight, Bob Kadlec, Sherwood Reed, Bob Gearheart, Brad Finney, Jim Kreissl and Mel Wilhelm - all of whom shared many examples of interesting situations from their extensive personal experiences working with constructed wetlands projects in various parts of the country.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document provides guidance to EPA Regions, States, Tribes, Local Governments, and other organizations and individuals involved in the siting, design, construction, operation/maintenance, monitoring, and legal oversight of constructed treatment wetlands. It also provides guidance to the public and the regulated community on how EPA intends to exercise its discretion in implementing the Clean Water Act as it relates to constructed treatment wetlands. The guidance is designed to implement national policy on these issues. The document does not, however, substitute for the Clean Water Act or EPA's regulations; nor is it a regulation itself. Thus it cannot impose legally-binding requirements on EPA, States, or the regulated community, and may not apply to a particular situation based upon the circumstances. EPA and State decision-makers retain the discretion to adopt approaches on a case-by-case basis that differs from this guidance where appropriate. EPA may change this guidance in the future.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
  - A. Purpose and Background
  - B. What are Constructed Treatment Wetlands?
  - C. What are the Guiding Principles?
- II. Guidelines for Siting Constructed Treatment Wetlands
  - A. Waters of the U.S. and Floodplains
  - B. Opportunities for Restoration of Degraded or Former Wetlands
  - C. Watershed Considerations
  - D. Water-Depleted and Effluent-Dependent Ecosystems
  - E. Other Site Selection Factors
- III. Guidelines for Design of Constructed Treatment Wetlands
  - A. Minimal Impact
  - B. Natural Structure
  - C. Buffer Zones
  - D. Vector Control
  - E. Hazing and Exclusion Devices
  - F. Dedicated Water Source
  - G. Biological Diversity and Physical Heterogeneity
  - H. Seasonality and Capacity Exceedences
  - I. Forebays
  - J. Multiple Cells
  - K. Maintenance Access
  - L. Public Acceptance
  - M. Public Use
  - N. Pilot Project and Design Criteria
- IV. Construction Guidelines for Constructed Treatment Wetlands
  - A. Construction Practices/Specifications/Drawings
  - B. Soils
  - C. Vegetation Selection
- V. Guidelines for Operation and Maintenance of Constructed Treatment Wetlands
  - A. Management Plan
  - B. Regular Inspections and Maintenance Activities
  - C. Operator Training
  - D. Contingency Plan
- VI. Guidelines for Monitoring of Constructed Treatment Wetlands
  - A. Reference Wetland
  - B. Methods and Criteria
  - C. Early Identification of Potential Problems
  - D. Timeframe

VII. Federal Permits and Other Legal Issues

- A. Clean Water Act and "Waters of the U.S."
- B. Clean Water Act Section 303 Water Quality Standards
- C. Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification
- D. Clean Water Act Section 402
- E. Clean Water Act Section 404
- F. Pretreatment
- G. Other Federal Legal and Programmatic Considerations

VIII. Questions and Answers

Appendix I - Definitions

Appendix II - Federal Statutes & Regulations

Appendix III - Federal Funding Sources

Appendix IV - References

Appendix V - Members of the Constructed Treatment Wetlands Workgroup

Appendix VI - Primary Federal Agency Contacts

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. Purpose and Background

**Purpose:** *To promote the development of environmentally beneficial constructed wetlands for water treatment systems by providing information on the legal, policy and technical issues associated with these systems as well as guidelines for those developing and managing constructed treatment wetlands.*

**Background:** The number of constructed treatment wetland projects receiving wastewater from municipal, industrial, agricultural, and storm water sources has increased to more than 600 active projects across the United States. If planned properly, these treatment wetlands offer opportunities to regain some of the natural functions of wetlands and offset some of the significant losses in wetland acreage. In arid regions and communities reaching the limits of water availability, water reuse via these systems is an attractive option that may help achieve water conservation and wildlife habitat goals. With appropriate siting, design, preapplication treatment, monitoring, operation, maintenance, and management, these manmade systems can often emulate natural wetlands by providing integrated ecological functions within the watershed and landscape.

Constructed treatment wetland project proponents and regulators have expressed a desire for more efficient and consistent policy guidelines for the development and permitting of such projects, especially those providing both water quality and wildlife habitat benefits. An initial effort to develop this guidance was funded by EPA's Environmental Technology Initiative (ETI) Program. A workgroup<sup>1</sup> was formed to identify and resolve general policy and permitting issues for a constructed treatment wetlands project, the Tres Rios Constructed Wetlands in Phoenix, Arizona. The Tres Rios Constructed Wetlands project is a wildlife habitat and treatment wetland proposed by the City of Phoenix, the Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Reclamation, and other organizations.

In September, 1997, EPA convened a Federal Interagency Workgroup consisting of the Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and the Bureau of Reclamation to evaluate the technical and policy issues identified by the ETI project team (in their final report entitled "Wetlands for Water Quality Management and Habitat Enhancement: Policy and Permitting Issues," January 1997) in order to

---

<sup>1</sup>The ETI Project workgroup that participated in this effort included active participation by representatives from the City of Phoenix and their contractor, CH<sub>2</sub>M-Hill (and Wetland Management Services); U.S. EPA and its contractor, SAIC; U.S. Bureau of Reclamation; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service; AZ Dept. of Water Resources; AZ Dept. of Environmental Quality; AZ Game & Fish Dept.; along with extensive input from many local organizations interested in the proposed Tres Rios Project.

provide a starting point for a national policy dialogue and for analysis of the issues associated with these wastewater treatment systems and the wildlife habitat they may be able to provide. Common factors in successful constructed treatment wetland projects and lessons learned from less successful projects provided, in part, the basis for development of the technical and policy recommendations in these guidelines.

The process of writing and reviewing the guiding principles was highly educational, collaborative and iterative. The Workgroup decided to *focus upon and encourage those projects that not only provide water treatment, but also strive to provide water reuse, wildlife habitat, and public use benefits*. While this document focuses on municipal wastewater treatment wetlands, many of the principles can be used to help guide other treatment wetland projects, such as those treating acid mine drainage, agricultural and storm water runoff, livestock and poultry operations, and industrial wastewater. Information from specific case study projects, and scientific literature was used to develop these principles, along with technical information provided by constructed wetlands experts and dialogue during the Workgroup meetings. We hope this document will facilitate the establishment of future projects, while improving compliance with the Clean Water Act.

## **B. What are Constructed Treatment Wetlands?**

For the purposes of these Guiding Principles, constructed treatment wetlands are defined as engineered or constructed wetlands that utilize natural processes involving wetland vegetation, soils, and their associated microbial assemblages to assist, at least partially, in treating an effluent or other water source. In general, these systems should be engineered and constructed in uplands, outside waters of the U.S., unless the source water can be used to restore a degraded or former wetland (see III.B “Opportunities for Restoration of Degraded or Former Wetlands”).

The degree of wildlife habitat provided by constructed treatment wetlands, or sections of these wetlands, varies broadly across a spectrum. At one end of the spectrum are those systems that are intended only to provide treatment for an effluent or other water source, in order to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act, and that provide little to no wildlife habitat. At the other end are those systems that are intended to provide water reuse, wildlife habitat, and public use, while also providing a final polishing function for a pretreated effluent or other water source. This guidance primarily addresses the latter end of this spectrum.

## **C. What Are the Guiding Principles?**

The Guiding Principles are intended to:

- provide a framework for promoting sustainable, environmentally safe constructed treatment wetland projects.
- be usable nationally under a variety of settings and circumstances.

- educate and inform public and private decision makers, Federal, State, Tribal and local regulatory and resource agency personnel, and the general public.
- provide guidance for environmental performance, especially for projects which are intended to provide water reuse, wildlife habitat, and public use, in addition to other possible objectives.
- highlight opportunities to restore and create wetlands.
- be applied, when appropriate, to any effluent or other source water treatment system as long as the source is adequately treated to meet applicable standards, protects the existing beneficial uses, and does not degrade the receiving waters.
- create opportunities for beneficial uses of dredged material, if feasible
- minimize risks from contamination and/or toxicity.
- be applied in a watershed context.
- be flexible enough to accommodate regional differences in climate, hydrogeomorphology, wildlife habitat needs, etc.
- complement Federal, regional, State, Tribal or local authority, rules, and regulations and policies.

## **II. GUIDELINES FOR *SITING* CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS**

### **A. Waters of the U.S. and Floodplains**

Constructed treatment wetlands should generally be constructed on uplands (outside waters of the U.S.) and outside floodplains or floodways (unless the next section, II.B, applies) in order to avoid damage to natural wetlands and other aquatic resources. Also, wetlands constructed on uplands have been found to be somewhat more predictable than natural wetlands in terms of pollutant removal efficiency and in structural soundness. This is believed to be due to the engineering of constructed wetlands to provide favorable flow capacity and routing patterns. (Excerpted from Strecker, et. al., Terrene Institute 1992) (For more information on waters of the U.S., see VII.A “Clean Water Act and ‘Waters of the U.S.’”, Appendix I: “Waters of the U.S.,” and Executive Order 11988 “Floodplain Management”)

### **B. Opportunities for Restoration of Degraded or Former Wetlands**

Opportunities exist to use pretreated effluent, or other source waters, to restored degraded wetland systems. In general, you should only locate constructed treatment wetlands in existing wetlands, or other waters of the U.S., if (1) the source water meets all applicable water quality standards and criteria, (2) its use would result in a net environmental benefit to the aquatic system’s natural functions and values, and (3) it would help restore the aquatic system to its historic, natural condition. Prime candidates for restoration may include wetlands that were degraded or destroyed through the diversion of water supplies, a common occurrence in the arid West, and in heavily

farmed or developed regions. You should avoid siting in degraded wetlands if the functions and values of the existing wetland will be adversely affected or water quality standards will be violated. The appropriate Regional/District or State authorities will make these determinations on a case-by-case basis. (Note - many degraded wetlands are still considered waters of the U.S.)

### **C. Watershed Considerations**

When developing a constructed treatment wetland, you should consider its role within the watershed, as well as within the broader ecosystem context of the region. Aspects of this role include: potential water quality impacts (physical, chemical, biological, thermal) to surface waters and groundwater; surrounding and upstream land uses; location of the wetland in relation to wildlife corridors or flyways; potential threats from the introduction of non-native plant or animal species; and local citizens' perception of the appropriateness of constructed treatment wetlands in their watershed. Whenever possible, your constructed treatment wetland project should be planned in the context of a community-based watershed program.

### **D. Water-Depleted and Effluent-Dependent Ecosystems**

Constructed treatment wetland projects may provide valuable ecological benefits in regions where water resources, and especially wetlands, are limited due to climatic conditions and human-induced impacts such as in the arid West, heavily farmed regions, and developed areas. For example, in the arid west, there are often historic (now degraded) wetlands that no longer have a reliable water source due to upstream water allocations or sinking groundwater tables. Pretreated effluent from wastewater treatment plants and seasonal return irrigation flows may be the only sources of water available for these areas and their dependent ecosystems. Please note that water quality standards and permitting requirements apply if these areas are still considered waters of the U.S. EPA has developed regional guidance to assist dischargers and regulators in demonstrating a net ecological benefit from maintenance of a wastewater discharge to a waterbody ("Guidance for Modifying Water Quality Standards and Protecting Effluent-Dependent Ecosystems," EPA Region 9 Interim Final Guidance, June 17, 1992).

### **E. Other Site Selection Factors**

The suitability of a site for constructing a treatment wetland may depend on the condition of one or more of the following factors: substrate, hydrology/geomorphology, vegetation, presence of Endangered Species or critical habitat, wildlife, cultural/socioeconomic impacts including environmental justice issues, the surrounding landscape, land use/zoning considerations, and potential impacts to safety and health, such as impacts from major flooding events. Project proponents and permit applicants should carefully examine these factors and consult with applicable agencies in determining the most appropriate site(s) for their projects, and should follow the necessary environmental impact review procedures or other requirements in selecting the final

project location and characteristics.

### **III. GUIDELINES FOR *DESIGN* OF CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS**

#### **A. Minimal Impact**

Adverse impacts to waters of the U.S. should be avoided. Potential adverse impacts may include, but are not limited to: disruption of the composition and diversity of plant and animal communities; alteration of the existing hydrologic regime of natural wetlands or adjacent surface water bodies; introduction and spread of noxious species; threats to fish and wildlife from toxins and/or pathogens; and degradation of downstream water quality and groundwater sources.

#### **B. Natural Structure**

Constructed treatment wetland designs should avoid rectangular basins, rigid structures and straight channels whenever possible. The use of soft structures, diverse and sinuous edges in design configuration, and bio-engineering practices that incorporate the existing natural landscape and native vegetation in constructed treatment wetlands is encouraged. Use gravity to your advantage and design your project for minimal maintenance.

#### **C. Buffer Zones**

Design the margins of your constructed treatment wetland system as natural transition zones, including woody vegetated buffer areas around the site. Where appropriate, integrate the facility with other natural resource features to provide wildlife corridors and open space.

#### **D. Vector Control**

Design your facilities to minimize mosquito problems by minimizing the potential formation of stagnant water and by using natural biological control mechanisms, such as mosquito fish, stickleback, etc. (where native), bats, and purple martins. Local mosquito abatement districts can provide valuable assistance in designing your project to minimize mosquito habitat.

#### **E. Hazing and Exclusion Devices**

Use hazing or wildlife exclusion devices, such as noise-making devices or netting and fencing, if the effluent or other water source being treated is toxic or presents a significant threat to wildlife. Such devices may be necessary in facilities that are designed only for treatment, but their need should be decided on a case-by-case basis.

## **F. Dedicated Water Source**

Plans should be made for how the wetland habitat is to be maintained during periods of drought. Projects that are intended to provide wildlife habitat should have a dedicated water source for the life of the project and, if possible, beyond the life of the project to meet the long term hydrological needs of the desired aquatic and terrestrial communities. When doing this, be sure that adequate water supplies remain in adjacent streams for aquatic use and if groundwater is used, be sure that its mineral content is not toxic to plant species (excess iron can kill some plants).

## **G. Biological Diversity and Physical Heterogeneity**

To the extent possible, design your constructed treatment wetland to provide habitat with a broad range of native species, unless ecological considerations dictate otherwise. Maximize vegetative species diversity, where appropriate, without increasing the proportion of weedy, nonindigenous, or invasive species at the expense of native species. Project plans should include mechanisms to control or eliminate undesirable species. The biological diversity of your project may be linked to, or dependent upon, physical heterogeneity. This could include having both surface and subsurface flow while providing some areas of open water, creating nesting islands for waterfowl, and leaving some upland and buffer areas for other nesting species. Developing a wide variety of wetland types will provide a range of diversity for different types of wildlife.

## **H. Seasonality and Capacity Exceedences**

Your project design should be able to accommodate extremes in meteorologic conditions and temporary exceedences of water storage and treatment capacity. Considerations should be made for extremes in temperature and precipitation which can impact normal operations.

## **I. Forebays**

Utilize sediment collection/settling forebays for treatment of storm water inflows and for additional treatment of wastewater. Design and locate the forebays for ease of maintenance and to achieve greatest protection of wetland habitat and receiving waters. Monitor forebay sediments, wetland vegetation tissues, and water quality to ensure the system is functioning properly and not becoming an attractive nuisance problem to wildlife. Identify an upland disposal site to dispose of accumulated sediments that is consistent with sediment disposal requirements and monitoring criteria and standards. Note that special disposal requirements may be applied for sediments containing hazardous waste materials.

## **J. Multiple Cells**

The use of multiple cells may allow for residuals clean-out, repair of flow control structures, and specialized management of specific effluents without disruption of the overall systems operations. They also facilitate the flexibility of the system to manage different portions of the system (i.e., individual cells) for different purposes, such as the use of cells nearest the influent source to settle out sediment, final cells to strip out algae produced within the system, and other cells used to encourage the development of habitat and food production for specific wildlife species, etc. From a wastewater treatment standpoint, multiple cells often provide better treatment in part because “short circuiting” is minimized.

**K. Maintenance Access**

Design your constructed treatment wetland so that maintenance vehicles and personnel can safely and easily access the site with a minimum of disturbance. Proper access design will facilitate proper operation and maintenance of the wetland so that it performs as design.

**L. Public Acceptance**

Consider the public’s perception of your constructed treatment wetland project and its affects on neighboring populations and adjacent land uses. Take into account potential concerns like drinking water contamination, unpleasant odors, mosquitos, access by small children and other safety and health issues. Again, by planning your project with community involvement early in the process, you will help ensure public support and approval for your goals and objectives while developing a safe project for everyone to enjoy.

**M. Public Use**

When appropriate, encourage public access and use, work with local educators to design informative displays to install at your project, and help foster community education programs, especially for projects developed for water reuse and wildlife habitat. In some cases, public access may need to be prevented due to safety and health concerns.

**N. Pilot Projects and Design Criteria**

A pilot project may be necessary for designing your full-scale project. If a pilot is not utilized, then design considerations should be fully described and made available to future operators and regulatory staff. To assist in project design, reference “Design Manual for Constructed Wetlands and Aquatic Plant Systems for Municipal Wastewater Treatment” (EPA/625/1-88/022) and EPA’s North American Treatment Wetland Database - both of which are currently being updated, as well as other technical references such as those listed in Appendix III. Planning, design, and construction information is available from NRCS offices nationwide. Technical assistance may also be available, based on local priorities and workloads.

## **IV. CONSTRUCTION GUIDELINES FOR CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS**

### **A. Construction Practices/Specifications/Drawings**

Good construction practices should be followed during construction of your treatment wetland. Examples include properly evaluating the site, limiting damage to the local landscape by minimizing excavation and surface runoff during construction, and maximizing flexibility of the system to adapt to extreme conditions. Construction specifications and drawings should be utilized that clearly convey procedures to be used and required quality of final product. Note that a general construction storm water CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit must be obtained for any projects 5 acres in size or greater (or 1 acre expected to begin in 2002). This permit requires development and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan including best management practices to minimize pollutant loading during construction.

### **B. Soils**

If possible, avoid soil sources that contain a seed bank of unwanted species. Carefully consider the soils permeability and the implications for groundwater protection. Highly permeable soils may allow infiltration and possible contamination of groundwater and could prevent the development of hydrological conditions suitable to support wetland vegetation. You may need to use an impermeable barrier in some instances. Dredged material may be useful to help create a base substrate layer, however you may need to test it to ensure that it doesn't contain unwanted contaminants or materials. Matching a local dredging project's disposal need with a beneficial use solution such as creating a constructed treatment wetland is likely to be more practical, cost-effective, and environmentally advantageous when made as part of a broad, watershed-level planning effort. Contact your local Army Corps of Engineers office to see if there are any dredging projects in your area. For detailed guidance on beneficial uses of dredged material, please see Beneficial Use Manual "Identifying, Planning and Financing Beneficial Use Projects Using Dredged Material" (EPA842-B-98-001).

### **C. Vegetation Selection**

In general, use a diversity of native, locally obtained species. You should obtain seeds from a local seed bank or seedlings from a local nursery, whenever possible, to avoid harvesting native plants from existing wetlands. Species should be chosen both for water quality and wildlife habitat functions, if that is the intent of the project. The use of weedy, invasive, or non-native species should be avoided. Also consider the plants' abilities to adapt to various water depths and soil and light conditions at your site.

## **V. GUIDELINES FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS**

### **A. Management Plan**

Create a long-term operations, maintenance, monitoring, and funding plan, which identifies the party or parties responsible for maintenance and monitoring of your project, their responsibilities, and the funding mechanisms (see federal funding sources listed in Appendix III). In some cases, you may need to secure performance bonds prior to facility approval.

### **B. Regular Inspections and Maintenance Activities**

You will need to make regular inspections of your constructed treatment wetland. The definition of “regular” is case-specific and will depend on the design and operation of your treatment wetland. These considerations should be described in your maintenance plan. Examples of maintenance activities that you should conduct during these inspections include checking weir settings and the inlet and outlet structures, cleaning off surfaces where solids and floatable substances have accumulated to the extent that they may block flows, removing nuisance species and maintaining the appearance and general status of the vegetation and wildlife populations, and removing sediment accumulations in forebays. Save time and energy by conducting your routine monitoring activities, such as sample collections and wildlife counts, at the same time as your inspections.

### **C. Operator Training**

Train and/or certify your operators in the operation and maintenance of constructed treatment wetlands. Where available, this may be done in cooperation with your state regulatory agencies, the facility engineer, and public or private training centers, as directed by the certifying entity. Seek assistance from regulators and local experts, and attend constructed treatment wetland seminars and conferences for additional technical assistance.

### **D. Contingency Plan**

Project designers and operators should jointly develop a contingency plan to address problems which could develop during facility operations. Such problems may be due to: unrealistic or unattainable goals; design, construction, or operational errors; or unpredictable events. The first situation can be addressed by revising project goals or regulatory criteria (e.g. water quality standards), the second by reducing system capacity, increasing its area, or operational changes, and the third by anticipation through conservative design. Contingency plans should include measures for determining and remediating nuisance conditions, addressing any toxicity observed in the wetland, and dealing with upstream treatment plant failure or bypass. Auxiliary storage basins can

be helpful for dealing with many of these situations.

## **VI. GUIDELINES FOR *MONITORING* OF CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS**

### **A. Reference Wetland**

Reference sites may be useful as a basis of comparison to identify various changes and impacts to your constructed treatment wetland ecology and to evaluate its success. Where feasible and appropriate, consider using more than one wetland of the same type (e.g., depressional, riverine), class, size, vegetative cover, hydroperiod and geographic region (preferably nearby and within the same watershed), while allowing for natural variability, as a reference to measure the success of your project. Depending on your project's goals and objectives, you may want to compare only certain functions or characteristics of your treatment wetlands with the reference wetlands.

### **B. Methods and Criteria**

Depending on the primary goals and objectives of your project, site monitoring can be used to determine the chemical, physical, and biological health of your project and its success in treating effluent or other water sources. Monitoring criteria may include water quality (surface and groundwater), sediment quality, temperature, hydrology (fluctuation, loading, variability and flow pattern monitoring by means of tracer studies), plant, benthic macroinvertebrate, fish tissue analyses, toxicity testing, seasonal vegetation mapping or physical sampling, habitat structure and diversity (including species richness), and wildlife use surveys (birds, amphibians, macro-invertebrates, and fish, if appropriate). Certain species, such as migratory birds, will require Federal and State permits to collect for monitoring purposes. Also, nuisance insects should be monitored to evaluate the need for vector control measures. Where appropriate, methods for monitoring should draw from the scientific literature for assessing biological conditions. The specific details of your monitoring plan should be determined through discussions with the permitting agencies. If your state has a wetlands biomonitoring program, it may be appropriate to incorporate your efforts into the program. Volunteer monitoring groups, like the Izaak Walton League or local schools, may be able to assist you with your monitoring efforts.

### **C. Early Identification of Potential Problems**

Try to anticipate potential problems and monitor for potential dangers to the wetland ecosystem, such as bioaccumulation, avian botulism and other avian diseases, vector problems, invasion of non-native plants and animals, debris accumulation, and nuisance conditions, and be prepared to respond quickly. Potential responses to such problems should be described in your contingency plan.

#### **D. Timeframe**

Be sure to monitor the constructed treatment wetland for the entire life of the project to help ensure that the wetland system performs as designed and its ecological integrity.

### **VII. FEDERAL PERMITS AND OTHER LEGAL ISSUES**

Federal, State, Tribal, and/or local regulations, in addition to those listed below, may be applicable. Please be sure to coordinate with the appropriate agencies on all projects and, when appropriate, have cooperative and collaborative planning and information-sharing sessions with community and business representatives, environmental groups, regulatory agencies, and the general public.

#### **A. Clean Water Act and “Waters of the U.S.”**

“Waters of the United States” or “waters of the U.S.” are those waters regulated by the Clean Water Act (CWA) (see definition in Appendix I). By definition, waste treatment systems designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act are not considered waters of the U.S. (40 CFR § 122.2 9). If, however, your constructed treatment wetland is constructed in an existing water of the U.S., the area will remain a water of the U.S. unless an individual CWA Section 404 permit is issued which explicitly identifies it as an excluded waste treatment system designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.

If your constructed treatment wetland is constructed in uplands and is designed to meet the requirements of the CWA, then it generally will not be considered a water of the U.S. under the waste treatment system exclusion to the definition of waters of the U.S. If the constructed treatment wetland is abandoned or is no longer being used as a treatment system, it may revert to (or become) a water of the U.S. if it otherwise meets the definition of waters of the U.S. This definition is met if the system has wetland characteristics (hydrology, soils, vegetation) *and* it is either (1) an interstate wetland, (2) is adjacent to another water of the U.S. (other than waters which are themselves wetlands), or (3) if it is an isolated intrastate water which has a connection to interstate commerce (for example, it is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes).

The Army Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency decide on a case-by-case basis whether or not particular bodies of water are waters of the U.S.. Contact your Army Corps of Engineers district or Regional Environmental Protection Agency office for more information on this subject. If your constructed treatment wetland, or a portion of your constructed treatment wetland, is considered a water of the U.S., it falls under the jurisdiction of the CWA, and one or more of the following sections of the CWA may apply. If the constructed treatment wetland is not itself a water of the U.S. but it discharges pollutants into

a water of the U.S., the discharge requires a permit under CWA Section 402.

**B. Clean Water Act Section 303 Water Quality Standards.**

Under the CWA, states and tribes (and in a few cases EPA) are to adopt water quality standards for all waters of the U.S. Water quality standards include designated uses for water bodies, criteria to protect these designated uses, and an antidegradation policy (Section 303). Permits for discharges to waters of the U.S., including jurisdictional wetlands, must ensure the discharges will not cause or contribute to a violation of water quality criteria or impair designated uses in the receiving water or downstream waters. (If there are no water quality standards specific to a wetland, the water quality standards for the adjacent open waterbody may be applied to the wetland, depending on your state's policies.) (Please see Appendix VII: "Clean Water Act Section 303 Water Quality Standards")

**C. Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification.**

Projects involving a federally licensed activity that may result in discharges to waters of the U.S. (Such as a CWA Section 402 permit from EPA and/or a CWA Section 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) require certification under Section 401 of the CWA. Your permit application will need certification that the proposed activity will not violate water quality standards or other state or tribal requirements. This certification must come from the state or authorized tribe in whose geographic jurisdiction the discharge would occur. Note that the state or tribe may place conditions on its certification that are intended to prevent such violations. States and tribes may waive certification.

**D. Clean Water Act Section 402**

The CWA Section 402 program, also known as the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, regulates the discharge of pollutants (other than dredged or fill material, which is covered under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act - see below) from point sources into waters of the U.S. Over forty states are authorized by EPA to administer the NPDES permitting program within their state boundaries. The construction and/or operation of a treatment wetland may involve these discharges to waters of the U.S. and, as a result, require an NPDES permit.

If construction of the treatment wetland will disturb 5 acres or more (one acre expected to apply in 2002), an NPDES permit for the discharge of storm water is required. In most areas of the country, EPA or State NPDES permitting authorities have issued storm water general permits for discharges from construction activities. These storm water general permits typically require operators of the construction project to submit a notice of intent (NOI) form, and prepare a site specific storm water pollution prevention plan, prior to disturbing any land at the site. For more

information, please contact your NPDES permitting authority. A current list of State/Federal Storm Water Contacts is available at: "<http://www.epa.gov/owm/swlib.htm>". (For more information, see VIII. Question and Answer #1 and Appendix VII: "Clean Water Act Section 402")

#### **E. Clean Water Act Section 404**

If your construction activities involve the discharge of dredged or fill material (e.g. rock, sand, and soil) to waters of the U.S., you will need authorization under CWA Section 404. For example, if you wish to use a degraded jurisdictional wetland for wastewater treatment and plan to construct water control structures, such as berms or levees, this construction will typically involve discharges of dredged or fill material into that wetland (NOTE - the use of existing wetlands for purposes of wastewater treatment is generally discouraged). Subsequent maintenance may also require a permit (although Section 404(f) may exempt some routine maintenance from 404 permitting requirements). You should contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (or the appropriate state agency) to determine the regulatory requirements associated with the proposed discharge of dredged or fill material. (For more information, see Appendix VII: "Clean Water Act Section 404")

**Compensatory Mitigation:** In general, wetlands constructed or restored for the primary purpose of treating wastewater will not be recognized as compensatory mitigation to offset wetland losses authorized under federal regulatory programs. In some cases, however, components of constructed wetland treatment systems that provide wetland functions and values beyond what is needed for treatment purposes may be used for compensatory mitigation. For example, project sponsors may be eligible to receive mitigation "credit" for using treated effluent as part of a constructed treatment wetland system that restores or creates *additional* wetland acreage beyond the acreage needed for treatment purposes. The use of constructed treatment wetlands for mitigation for Clean Water Act Section 404 purposes is subject to approval by the Corps of Engineers, in consultation with other federal and state resource agencies. Such decisions need to be made on a case-by-case basis, considering, among other factors, the appropriateness of the constructed treatment wetland to fully offset the anticipated impacts from the loss of natural wetlands.

#### **F. Preapplication Treatment** (see definition in Appendix I)

If your constructed treatment wetland is considered a water of the U.S. (e.g., is constructed in a water of the U.S.), you must treat the effluent, or other source water (storm water runoff, agricultural and livestock waste, etc.) prior to its entering the constructed treatment wetland sufficiently to meet all applicable water quality standards (and to prevent degradation of wildlife or biological integrity). Municipal wastewater effluent generally must be treated to at least secondary levels before it enters waters of the U.S. (Section 301 of the Clean Water Act). Other

examples of treatment include best management practices for storm water and confined animal feeding operations.

**G. Other Federal Legal and Programmatic Considerations** (for descriptions, see Appendix II: Federal Statutes & Regulations)

- ▶ Clean Water Act Section 319 (Nonpoint Source Pollution Program)
- ▶ Estuary management plans under Clean Water Act Section 320
- ▶ Coastal Zone Management Act, including Reauthorization Amendments of 1990
- ▶ Endangered Species Act
- ▶ Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
- ▶ Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
- ▶ Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- ▶ National Environmental Policy Act
- ▶ National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
- ▶ National Historic Preservation Act

## **VIII. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**Question 1: I am planning to build 50 acres of constructed treatment wetlands for post-secondary wastewater treatment of my small community's municipal wastewater effluent. I anticipate that the wetland will provide high value wetland habitat for wildlife and public use. Do I need any permits, do water quality standards apply to my project, and can I get mitigation credits?**


If your new constructed treatment wetland is considered a waters of the U.S. or will discharge pollutants to waters of the U.S., you will need a CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit at the discharge point (please see the discussion on waters of the U.S. under VII.A and Appendix I).

The permit's requirements will be based on the applicable water quality standards for the receiving waterbody.

Three options for this are outlined below:


### Option 1

If the post-secondary effluent meets the applicable water quality standards requirements, you may receive a CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit (with appropriate limits) to discharge directly into the waters of the U.S.




### Option 2

If the post-secondary effluent almost meets the applicable water quality standards for waters of the U.S., and can meet those standards within a short distance of the discharge, you may be able to use a mixing zone and receive a CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit (with appropriate limits) to discharge directly into the waters of the U.S. Check with your state to see if mixing zones are allowed.



### Option 3

If the post secondary effluent will not meet the water quality standards for waters of the U.S. at or near the point of discharge, you may be able to discharge the post-secondary effluent to still another constructed treatment wetland that is not a water of the U.S. for further treatment. The discharge from this treatment wetland could then be treated in a manner similar to the effluent in Options 1 or 2.



Be sure to coordinate with the appropriate NPDES permitting authorities prior to constructing the wetland. Also check with your state, because some states have developed specific water quality standards for wetlands, which may apply to your constructed treatment wetland project. Other water quality standards and technology-based effluent limitations may also apply, depending on the effluent source. (For more information on standards, see VII. "Federal Permits and Other Legal Issues" and Appendix II: "Section 303 of the Clean Water Act")

If construction activities are proposed in existing wetlands or waters of the U.S., then the Army

Corps of Engineers and appropriate state agencies must also be consulted for CWA Section 404 permitting (see VII.E Clean Water Act Section 404).

Portions of your project may be eligible for use as mitigation, depending on case-specific circumstances (see discussion of compensatory mitigation in VII.E "Clean Water Act Section 404").

**Question 2: I live in an arid area and am hoping to use secondary wastewater effluent to restore a highly degraded natural wetland, while providing advanced treatment to the secondary effluent to meet requirements for downstream recreational use. Because of local water allocations and a drop in the water table, this site is now dry most of the year. The addition of effluent as a water source will help restore the wetland back to its historical hydrology and bring back the wetland dependent birds and wildlife. Do I still need permits and can I get mitigation credits for my restoration efforts?**

Depending on the specific circumstances of your proposal, you may need federal authorization of your project. For example, if the particular degraded wetlands are considered waters of the U.S., discharges to create the waste treatment system will require a CWA Section 404 permit. A CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit may also be required. As noted earlier, we encourage the use of appropriately treated effluent for restoration efforts only when it benefits the environment (See II.B "Opportunities for Restoration of Degraded or Former Wetlands"). Under some circumstances, portions of the restored wetland may be used as compensatory mitigation (see discussion of compensatory mitigation in VII.E "Clean Water Act Section 404").

**Question 3: Does my constructed treatment wetland become a waters of the U.S. after it is no longer used as a treatment system?**

If the treatment wetland is a water of the U.S., it will remain so after it stops being used as a treatment system. If the treatment wetland is not a water of the U.S., it may become (or revert back to, as the case may be) a water of the U.S. if it has wetland characteristics (hydrology, soils, and vegetation) and the following conditions apply: (1) it is an interstate wetland, (2) it is adjacent to another water of the U.S. (other than a water which is itself a wetland), or (3) it meets the interstate commerce requirements for an isolated intrastate water of the U.S. (for example, it is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes). These decisions are made on a case-by-case basis. (See VII.A "Clean Water Act and 'Waters of the U.S.'")

**Question 4: If I need to perform general maintenance in the constructed treatment wetland, will I need a Section 404 permit to deposit removed vegetation or dredge sediments?**

If the constructed treatment wetland is a water of the U.S., you may need a permit. Specifically, if the proposed activity involves the discharge of material dredged from waters of the U.S. or placement of fill material into waters of the U.S., a CWA Section 404 permit is needed unless the 404(f) exemption applies (see VII.E "Clean Water Act Section 404"). Activities such as building levees or sidecasting rock, sand, or soil are likely to require such permits. We generally encourage constructing forebays in uplands to collect effluent and storm water prior to discharge to wetlands. You must obtain a permit to construct forebays in an existing wetland. Forebays should be designed to promote sedimentation and decrease the disruptive forces of the waste water entering the system and thereby reducing impacts to water quality. Maintenance activities that are confined to such areas may not require authorization if they do not involve discharges to waters of the U.S. Discharge from the maintenance of levees, etc. will likely be exempt from permit requirements under Section 404(f). (See VII.A and E for more information).

**Question 5: Will I need a groundwater permit for my constructed treatment wetland?**

In general, groundwater protection permits are issued by state or local agencies. You should coordinate with the appropriate state and local agencies before you construct the treatment wetland. If the water in your constructed treatment wetland interacts with groundwater, then you may need a permit. If the wetland is lined with a impermeable liner, then interaction is unlikely and a permit may not be necessary. A Clean Water Act 402 (NPDES) permit may be required for discharges to groundwater where that groundwater has a direct hydrologic connection to surface waters of the U.S.

**Question 6: I am considering using constructed treatment wetlands to treat my municipality's storm water flows. What general issues must I consider?**

First of all, the treatment wetland should not be constructed in a waters of the U.S. unless you can sufficiently pretreat the storm water flows to protect the values and functions of the waters of the U.S. Because storm water is an unpredictable effluent source and can contain high levels of toxic substances, nutrients and pathogens, we strongly encourage that you construct the treatment wetland in uplands and use best management practices in these projects (see EPA's "Protecting Natural Wetlands: A Guide to Storm Water Best Management Practices" EPA/843-B-96-001). Depending on the size of your municipality and other factors, you may need to get a CWA Section 402 (NPDES) permit. Be sure to contact all the appropriate wastewater authorities in your area during the early planning stages of this type of project.

**Question 7: Can I use constructed treatment wetlands to treat other effluents or source waters?**

Yes, as long as you (1) generally avoid using natural wetlands which are waters of the U.S., (2) adequately pretreat the effluent or source water to protect the treatment wetlands and other

nearby surface and groundwater sources, (3) contact the appropriate authorities, and (4) meet all applicable requirements. We also encourage you to follow the principles established in this document.

## APPENDIX I

### DEFINITIONS

**COMPENSATORY MITIGATION.** For the purposes of CWA Section 404, compensatory mitigation is the restoration, creation, enhancement, or in exceptional circumstances, preservation of wetlands and/or other aquatic resources for the purpose of compensating for unavoidable adverse impacts of a dredge or fill project which remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization has been achieved.

**CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLAND.** Engineered and constructed wetlands that utilize natural processes involving wetland vegetation, soils, and their associated microbial assemblages to assist, at least partially, in treating an effluent or other source water. In general, these systems should be engineered and constructed in uplands, outside waters of the U.S., unless the source water can be used to restore a degraded or former wetland. (see II.B “Opportunities for Restoration of Degraded or Former Wetlands”)

**DEGRADED WETLANDS.** Wetland systems that have lost some or all of their characteristic functions and values due to hydrologic alterations, discharges of fill material and/or other pollutants, nuisance and invasive species, and discharge of point and nonpoint sources, etc.

**DESIGNATED USES.** Classifications for waters of a State by the State that are to be achieved and protected. These uses must take into consideration the existing use and potential value of water for public water supplies, protection and propagation of fish, shellfish and wildlife, recreation in and on the water, agricultural, industrial, and other purposes including navigation. Note that in no case shall a State adopt waste transport or waste assimilation as a designated use for any waters of the U.S. (*40 CFR § 131.10(a)*)

**DISCHARGE OF POLLUTANTS.** Addition of pollutants, including dredge and fill material, from a point source to waters of the U.S.

**DREDGED MATERIAL.** Material that is excavated or dredged from waters of the U.S.

**EFFLUENT.** Wastewater, normally treated.

**FILL MATERIAL.** Any material which has the effect of replacing an aquatic area with dry land or of changing the bottom elevation of a waterbody.

**FOREBAY.** An area within a management pond, wetland, etc. that is sized to capture sediments and other debris as the material enters the unit. This area is designed to provide for equipment access to facilitate periodic removal of accumulated material.

**INVASIVE SPECIES.** Species that spread rapidly, are frequently non-native to the region, and tend to out-compete more desirable native forms and to become dominant.

**JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, or JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS.** See “waters of the U.S.”

**MITIGATION.** See “Compensatory Mitigation”

**MIXING ZONE.** An area where an effluent discharge undergoes initial dilution and is extended to cover the secondary mixing in the ambient water body. A mixing zone is an allocated impact zone where water quality criteria can be exceeded as long as acutely toxic conditions are prevented. Compliance with effluent treatment standards typically is measured at the edge of the mixing zone. (*Water Quality Standards Handbook - Second Edition, August 1994, EPA-823-B-94-005, p. GLOSS-4.*)

**MONOTYPIC.** Having a nearly total dominance of one species of plant, such as Phragmites australis, or Typha spp., within an area.

**NONINDIGENOUS or NON-NATIVE SPECIES.** Species which are not native to the environment in which they currently exist and have been introduced by and often proliferate because of human activities.

**NONPOINT SOURCE (NPS) POLLUTION.** Results from the transport of pollutants into receiving waters via overland flow runoff within a drainage basin. In many cases, the source of NPS pollution cannot be specifically identified. Some examples may include fertilizer and pesticide runoff from fields and yards, storm water or wet weather flows, livestock waste, landfill leachates, and acid mine drainage.

**OTHER SOURCE WATERS.** Categories of wastewater other than municipal waste water, such as acid mine drainage, industrial wastewater, agricultural and urban runoff, effluent from livestock operations, landfill leachates, etc.

**POINT SOURCE.** Any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural storm water runoff. (*40 CFR. § 122.2*)

**PREAPPLICATION TREATMENT.** The treatment of wastewaters prior to their introduction to constructed treatment wetlands such that they do not negatively impact on the wetlands' functions and values.

**RESTORATION.** “Return of an ecosystem to a close approximation of its condition prior to disturbance” and “the reestablishment of predisturbance aquatic functions and related physical, chemical and biological characteristics.” (*National Research Council (NRC), “Restoration of Aquatic Ecosystems” 1992*)

**SOURCE WATERS or WATER SOURCES.** See “Other Source Waters”

**STORM WATER.** Flows and discharges resulting from precipitation events, such as rainfall or snowmelt, and include municipal and industrial storm water runoff, combined sewer overflows (CSOs), and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs). Urban storm water runoff, which is often collected by storm drains and transported to receiving waters, can contain many pollutants that are accumulated as rainwater or snowmelt flow across the surface of the earth. Such pollutants include oil and grease, chemicals, nutrients, pesticides, heavy metals, bacteria, viruses, and oxygen-demanding compounds. (<http://www.epa.gov/owm/wfaq.htm>)

**WATERS OF THE U.S.** All waters which are currently used or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate commerce, including: all waters which are subject to ebb and flow of the tide; all interstate waters including interstate wetlands; all other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams including intermittent streams, mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which would or could affect interstate or foreign commerce; all impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the U.S. under this definition; tributaries of waters defined above; the territorial sea; and wetlands adjacent to waters (other than waters that are themselves wetlands) identified above. Courts have found that this includes such waters as isolated, intrastate waters which are used by migratory birds or which attract interstate travelers or from which fish or animals are or could be harvested and sold in interstate commerce. Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the CWA, are excluded from waters of the U.S. If such treatment systems are abandoned and otherwise meet the definition of waters of the U.S., they become or revert to regulated waters of the U.S. (*See the regulations for specific details: 40 CFR § 230.3(s)(1-7), 122.2 and COE Regulations at 33 CFR § 328.3(a)(1-7)*)

**WATERSHED.** The total drainage area contributing runoff to a single point or “hydrologically defined geographic areas. . . typically the areas that drain to surface waters or that recharge or overlay ground waters or a combination of both.” (*June 1996 EPA Watershed Approach Framework*)

**WETLAND.** Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands

generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. (*Definitions taken from EPA regulations at 40 CFR § 230.3(t) and COE Regulations at 33 CFR § 328.3(b).*)

## APPENDIX II

### FEDERAL STATUTES & REGULATIONS

#### MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND REGULATIONS THAT MAY APPLY TO CONSTRUCTED TREATMENT WETLANDS

*The U.S. Congress enacted the Clean Water Act to RESTORE AND MAINTAIN THE CHEMICAL, PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY OF THE NATION'S WATERS.*

**Section 303 of the Clean Water Act.** States and Tribes are to develop water quality standards for all waters of the U.S., including wetlands. These standards, at a minimum, must consist of three major components:

1. Designated Uses - These are environmental goals for each waterbody within a State or Tribe. Each body of water is given one or more designated uses, such as "groundwater recharge" or "aquatic life support." The goal of the State or Tribe is to achieve, protect and maintain these designated uses.

2. Water Quality Criteria - States and Tribes develop water quality criteria to support the designated uses of each waterbody in their respective jurisdictions. The criteria are either narrative statements or numeric limits on factors affecting the waterbody's health. A number of states are now establishing biological criteria, in addition to the more traditional physical and chemical criteria, to help determine the health of wetlands.

3. Antidegradation Policy - All states must have antidegradation policy language consistent with 40 CFR Section 131.12 in their water quality standards, and must develop appropriate implementation procedures. Antidegradation policies, at a minimum, must maintain and protect existing instream water uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses. These policies also ensure the protection of water quality for a particular waterbody where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water.

**Section 319(b) of the Clean Water Act (Nonpoint Source Pollution Program).** EPA has oversight for a national program to control nonpoint sources of pollution. This program requires that states develop management programs for the control of nonpoint source pollution. EPA emphasizes a watershed-based approach, which can include protection and/or restoration of wetlands and riparian areas.

**Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.** Certification verifying compliance with a state or tribe's

water quality standards and other requirements is necessary is required for federally permitted or licensed activities that involve discharges to waters of the U.S.

**Section 402 of the Clean Water Act (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)).** Clean Water Act Section 402 establishes a program to regulate the discharge of a pollutant (other than dredged or fill materials, which are covered under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act) from a point source into waters of the U.S. The Section 402 Program is administered at the federal level by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. A State or Tribe, however, can be authorized to administer all or part of the program, upon approval by the Environmental Protection Agency. As of 1998, 43 states have assumed the NPDES program.

The CWA defines a “discharge of a pollutant” to mean any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source. The term “pollutant” is defined as dredged spoil, solid waste, sewage, sewage sludge, chemical wastes, biological materials, industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste, etc. discharged into water. A “point source” is a discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, such as a pipe, ditch, channel or sewer, etc. from which pollutants are or may be discharged.

The CWA prohibits discharge of a pollutant from a point source except in accordance with a permit. Discharges to waters of the U.S. may be authorized by obtaining and complying with the terms of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. NPDES permits commonly contain numerical and narrative limits on the amounts of specified pollutants that may be discharged. These “effluent limitations” implement both technology-based and water quality-based requirements of the Act. Technology-based limitations represent the degree of control that can be achieved by point sources using various levels of pollution control technology. In addition, if necessary to achieve compliance with applicable water quality standards (see Section 303 above), NPDES permits must contain water quality-based limitations more stringent than the applicable technology-based standards.

**Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.** Clean Water Act Section 404 establishes a program to regulate the discharge of dredged or fill materials into waters of the U.S. At the Federal level, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) administer the 404 program. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service have important advisory roles. The Corps has the primary responsibility for the permit program and is authorized, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material. EPA’s responsibilities include development of the environmental guidelines by which permit applications are evaluated and review of proposed permits. States can assume a portion of the permit program from the Federal government. As of 1998, only Michigan and New Jersey have assumed the 404 program.

The basic premise of the Section 404 program is that no discharge of dredged or fill material can be permitted if a practicable alternative exists that is less damaging to the aquatic environment or if the nation's waters would be significantly degraded. Accordingly, applicants for a Section 404 permit must demonstrate that no practicable alternative exists that would meet the basic purpose of the project and have less impact on the aquatic environment. Once potential impacts to the aquatic environment have been avoided and minimized to the maximum extent practicable, applicants are required to provide compensatory mitigation, such as wetlands restoration or enhancement, to offset any remaining adverse effects.

**Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990, Section 6217(g).** This program is jointly administered by EPA and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and calls upon states to develop and implement State Coastal Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Programs. EPA and NOAA have developed guidance specifying management measures for nonpoint source pollution affecting coastal waters ("Guidance Specifying Management Measures for Sources of Nonpoint Pollution in Coastal Waters" EPA/84-B-92-002). Included in this guidance is a chapter on protection and restoration of wetlands and riparian areas, and the use of vegetated systems for non-point source control.

**The Endangered Species Act.** The 1973 Endangered Species Act provides for the conservation of ecosystems upon which threatened and endangered species of fish, wildlife, and plants depend. Among other things, the Act prohibits unauthorized taking, possession, sale, and transport of threatened and endangered species. It also requires Federal agencies to insure that any action authorized, funded or carried out by them is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or modify their critical habitat. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service can provide information on the location of threatened or endangered species and their habitats.

**Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.** This act authorizes the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to cooperate with Federal, State, public, and private organizations in the protection of wildlife (including fish) and its habitat. It also requires that impacts to wildlife be given equal consideration in water-resource development programs. FWS and NMFS must be contacted regarding all new federal water projects or federally authorized water projects that modify streams or other bodies of water.

**Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.** The 1996 amendments to this Act require the Fishery Management Councils to describe "essential fish habitat" (EFH) for managed fish, including shellfish. The Act also requires federal agencies to consult with NMFS on any federal action (including those federally funded or authorized) that may adversely affect EFH. NMFS regulations emphasize the use of existing coordination processes (e.g., National Environmental Policy Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act) for accomplishing EFH consultation. NMFS is required to provide EFH conservation recommendations to both federal

and state agencies whose actions would adversely affect EFH. Federal agencies are required to respond to these recommendations.

**Migratory Bird Treaty Act (as amended).** This act implements four international treaties that individually affect migratory birds common to the United States, Canada, Mexico, Japan, and the former Soviet Union. The Act establishes Federal responsibility for protecting and managing migratory and nongame birds, including the issuance of permits to band, possess or otherwise make use of migratory birds, and the establishment of season length, bag limits, and other hunting regulations. Except as allowed by implementing regulations, the Act makes it unlawful to pursue, hunt, kill, capture, possess, buy, sell, purchase, or barter any migratory bird, including the feathers or other parts, nests, eggs, or migratory bird products.

**National Environmental Policy Act.** This act requires federal agencies to make informed, environmentally responsible decisions when considering federal actions that may have a significant impact on the environment, such as when issuing a Section 404 permit. Generally, agencies must evaluate potential environmental consequences of proposed actions using Environmental Assessments (EAs) and/or Environmental Impact Statements (EISs).

**National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.** This act selects certain rivers of the nation that possess outstandingly remarkable scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural, or other similar values; preserves them in a free-flowing condition; and protects them and their immediate environment for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations. It describes procedures and limitations for the control of lands in Federally administered components of the system and for dealing with the disposition of lands and minerals under Federal ownership. Rivers are classified as wild, scenic or recreational, and various prohibitions on the use of the waters and land apply, respectively. To preserve its current free-flowing condition, a designated river is protected from federally-supported dam building and other federally authorized structural changes which would adversely effect the values upon which its designation was based.

**National Historic Preservation Act.** This act provides for the preservation of significant historical features (buildings, objects and sites). It established a National Register of Historic Places. Federal agencies are directed to take into account the effects of their actions on items or sites listed or eligible for listing in this National Register.

## APPENDIX III

### FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCES

#### **EPA's Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund**

- Purpose:** Provides grant funds to states to help them establish state revolving fund programs. States, in turn, offer loans and other types of financial assistance from their SRFs to municipalities, individuals, and others for high-priority water quality activities.
- Projects:** While traditionally used to build or improve wastewater treatment plants, loans are also used increasingly for: agricultural, rural, and urban runoff control; wetland and estuary improvement projects; storm water flow control and sewer overflows; alternative treatment technologies such as constructed wetlands
- Assistance:** States offer loan rates that are two to four percent below market rates. Some states offer even lower interest rates to small, economically disadvantaged communities. 1999 budget: \$1.35 billion.
- Eligibility:** Municipalities, individuals, communities, citizen groups, and non-profit organizations, though each state ultimately determines eligibility.
- Address:** U. S. EPA, Office of Wastewater Management, 401 M Street SW (4204), Washington, DC 20460
- Phone:** (202) 260-7360 or (202) 260-2268
- Facsimile:** (202) 260-1827
- E-mail:** srfinfo.group@epa.gov
- Web Site:** www.epa.gov/OWM

#### **EPA's Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants (319 Program)**

- Purpose:** To help States, Territories, and Tribes develop and implement programs to prevent and control nonpoint source pollution, such as creating constructed treatment wetlands to clean-up urban runoff and agricultural wastes.
- Projects:** State, Territories, and Tribes receive grant money (and may then provide funding and assistance to local groups) to support a wide variety of activities, such as technical assistance, financial assistance, technical programs, education, training, technology transfer, demonstration projects (e.g., best management practices), and monitoring specific to nonpoint source implementation.
- Assistance:** Grants are first awarded to state agencies. Local organizations can then apply for grants through the agencies, but they must provide 40 percent of the total

project or program cost as non-federal dollars. 1999 budget: approx. \$200 million

**Eligibility:** State, local, and Tribal governments, nonprofit and local organizations, etc. (check with your state contact)

**Address:** U.S. EPA, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, 401 M Street SW (4503F), Washington, DC 20460

**Phone:** (202) 260-7100

**Facsimile:** (202) 260-7024

**E-mail:** ow-general@epa.gov

**Web Site:** [www.epa.gov/owow/NPS](http://www.epa.gov/owow/NPS)

## APPENDIX IV

### REFERENCES

Executive Order 11988. *Floodplain Management*. May 24, 1977.

Executive Order 11990. *Protection of Wetlands*. May 24, 1977.

Godfrey, P.J., E.R. Kranor, S. Pelczarski, and J. Benforado (eds.). (1985). *Ecological Considerations in Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Wastewaters*. Amherst, Massachusetts, June 25, 1982. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.

Hammer, D.A., ed. (1989). *Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: Municipal, Industrial and Agricultural*. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.

Hammer, D.A. (1992). *Creating Freshwater Wetlands*. Lewis Publishers, CRC Press Boca Raton, FL.

Kadlec, R.H. and R.I. Knight (1996). *Treatment Wetlands*. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL.

Kusler, J.A. and M.E. Kentula, eds. (1989). *Wetland Creation and Restoration: The Status of the Science, Vol. I and II*. EPA/600/3/89/038a&b. U.S. EPA, Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, OR.

Marble, A.D. (July 1990). *A Guide to Wetland Functional Design*. FHWA-IP-90-010. U.S. Department of Transportation, McLean, VA.

Mitsch, W.J. and J.G. Gosselink (1993). *Wetlands*, 2nd ed. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.

Moshiri, G.A., ed. (1993). *Constructed Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement*. Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL

National Research Council (1992). *Restoration of Aquatic Ecosystems*. National Academy of Sciences, Water Science and Technology Board. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

Reed, S.C., R.W. Crites, and E.J. Middlebrooks (1995). *Natural Systems for Waste Management and Treatment*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Schneller-McDonald, K., L.S. Ischinger, and G.T. Auble (1990). *Wetland Creation and +Restoration: Description and Summary of the Literature*. Biological Report 90(3). U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Ecology Research Center, Fort

Collins, CO.

Strecker, E.W., Kersnar, J.M., and E.D. Driscoll (1992). *The Use of Wetlands for Controlling Stormwater Pollution*. The Terrene Institute, Washington, DC.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (now the Natural Resources Conservation Service) (1992). "Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, Or Creation". Part 650, Chapter 13, of the Engineering Field Handbook.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, December (1999). *Beneficial Use Manual - Identifying, Planning, and Financing Beneficial Use Projects Using Dredged Material*. Pre-Publication Copy (January 21, 1999). EPA/842-B-98-001. Office of Water. Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1993). *Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment and Wildlife Habitat -17 Case Studies*. Contributing Authors; Bastian, Demgen, Dyer, Gearheart, Jackson, Jockers, Kadlec, Knight, Newberry, Richwine, Shearer, and Wilhelm. EPA832-R-93-005.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1988). *Design Manual: Constructed Wetlands and Aquatic Plant Systems for Municipal Wastewater Treatment*. Contributing Authors; Crites, R.W., D.C. Gunther, A.P. Kruzic, J.D. Pelz, and G. Tchobanoglous. EPA/625/1-88/022, Cincinnati, OH. [publication of an updated version of this document is anticipated by October 1999]

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, October (1998). *Free Water Surface Flow Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment and Wildlife Habitat: A Technology Assessment*. EPA/832-R-99-xxx. Office of Water. Washington, D.C. [the final version of this assessment document is anticipated during 1999]

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1992). *Guidance for Modifying Water Quality Standards and Protecting Effluent-Dependent Ecosystems*. EPA Region 9 Interim Final Guidance.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1992). *Guidance Specifying Management Measures for Sources of Nonpoint Pollution in Coastal Waters*. EPA/84-B-92-002

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994). *North American Wetlands for Water Quality Treatment Database*. Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH. (currently being updated with additional information on agricultural systems, and wetland habitat/wildlife usage data; update to be available late during 1999 with access via Internet in early 2000)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1996). *Protecting Natural Wetlands: A Guide to*

*Stormwater Best Management Practices*. Office of Water. EPA/843-B-96-001

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994). *Water Quality Standards Handbook - Second Edition*, EPA-823-B-94-005.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (1987). *Field Guide to Wildlife Diseases, Volume 1: General Field Procedures and Diseases of Migratory Birds*. Resource Publication 167. Washington, DC.

Water Pollution Control Federation (1990). *Natural Systems for Wastewater Treatment*. S.C. Reed ed. Manual of Practice FD-16. Water Pollution Control Federation, Alexandria, VA.

Watershed Management Institute in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (August 1997). *Operation, Maintenance, and Management of Stormwater Management Systems*. Watershed Management Institute, Ingleside, Maryland.

Wetlands for Water Quality Management and Habitat Enhancement, Policy and Permitting Issues - Final ETI Report (January 1997) prepared for the City of Phoenix, EPA, and Bureau of Reclamation by the ETI Treatment Wetland Policy & Permitting Team and CH<sub>2</sub>M-Hill.

**APPENDIX V****MEMBERS OF THE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS WORKGROUP**

<b>NAME</b>	<b>OFFICE</b>	<b>PHONE</b>
Bob Bastian	EPA Office of Wastewater Management	202-260-7378
Jack Chowning	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	202-761-1781
Greg Colianni	EPA Oceans and Coastal Protection Div.	202-260-4025
Cheryl Crisler	EPA Region 7, Kansas City, KS	913-551-7820
Tom Davenport	EPA Region 5, Chicago, IL	312-886-0209
Naomi Detenbeck	EPA Research Lab, Duluth, MN	218-529-5204
Joe Dixon	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	602-640-2003
Cindy Dyballa	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	202-208-7589
Fran Eargle	EPA Wetlands Division	202-260-1954
Sue Elston	EPA Region 5, Chicago, IL	312-886-6115
John Ettinger	EPA Wetlands Division	202-260-1190
Robert Goo	EPA Assess. and Watershed Protection Div.	202-260-7025
Roger Hancock	EPA Region 6, Dallas, TX	214-665-6688
Harriet Hill	EPA Region 9, San Francisco, CA (retired)	-----
Peter Holmes	EPA Region 1, Boston, MA	617-918-1513
Paul Jones	EPA San Francisco Bay Program	415-744-1976
Jamal Kadri	EPA Office of Policy, Planning, and Eval.	202-260-3848
Bob Klepp	EPA Office of Wastewater Management	202-564-7073
Kim Kramer	EPA Office of Wastewater Management	202-260-7933
James Kreissl	EPA Office of Research and Development	513-569-7611
Jack Landy	EPA Region 9, San Francisco, CA	415-744-1922

Jeffery Lapp	EPA Region 3, Philadelphia, PA	215-566-2717
Matt Little	EPA Wetlands Division	202-260-2175
Kristen Martin	EPA Assess. and Watershed Protection Div.	202-260-7108
Kathy Matthews	EPA Region 4, Atlanta, GA	404-562-9373
Brett Melone	EPA Wetlands Division	202-260-5727
Daniel Montella	EPA Region 2, New York, NY	212-637-3801
Kathy Mulder	EPA Region 7, Kansas City, KS	913-551-7542
Phil Oshida	EPA Wetlands Division	202-260-6045
Erika Petrovich	EPA Region 2, New York, NY	212-637-3811
Dave Ruiter	EPA Region 8, Denver, CO	303-312-6794
Randy Rutan	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	703-358-2183
Bob Shippen	EPA Office of Science and Technology	202-260-1329
Eric Stiles	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	303-445-2458
Susan-Marie Stedman	NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service	301-713-2325
Linda Storm	EPA Region 10, Seattle, WA	206-553-2578
Matt Schweisberg	EPA Region 1, Boston, MA	617-918-1628
Doug Thompson	EPA Region 1, Boston, MA	617-918-3480
Cathy Winer	EPA Office of General Counsel	202-260-7719
Gary Wooten	USDA, Natural Resources Conserv. Service	202-690-1588
Thomas Yocom	EPA Region 9, San Francisco, CA	415-744-1347
Chris Zabawa	EPA Assess. and Watershed Protection Div.	202-260-7101

## APPENDIX VI

### PRIMARY FEDERAL AGENCY CONTACTS

Army Corps of Engineers: CECW-OR, 20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20314-1000, (202) 761-0199.

Bureau of Reclamation: Land Suitability and Water Quality, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, CO 80225-0007, (303) 445-2458

EPA Office of Wastewater Management: 401 M St. SW (4204), Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260-7378.

EPA Wetlands Division: 401 M St. SW (4502F), Washington, DC 20460, 202-260-7791.

EPA Wetlands Hotline: 1 (800) 832-7828

National Marine Fisheries Service: Office of Habitat Conservation, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, (301) 713-2325.

Natural Resources Conservation Service: Watersheds and Wetlands Division, 14th and Independence Ave. SW, P.O. Box 2890, Washington, DC 20013, (202) 720-3534.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Division of Environmental Contaminants, 4401 North Fairfax Drive (ARLSQ 320), Arlington, VA 22203, (703) 358-2148.